Rec'd PCT/PTO O U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE FORM-PTO-1390 (Rev. 12-29-99) TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES 032642-004 DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If know **CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371** PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE PCT/AU99/00651 11 August 1999 11 August 1998 TITLE OF INVENTION MOLLUSC REPELLENT APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US Colin Leslie Young Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information: This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 2. This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b) and the PCT Articles 22 and 39(1). 3.  $\boxtimes$ A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date. 4 5. A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)) is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). has been transmitted by the International Bureau. is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US) A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). 6.  $\boxtimes$ 7, Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)) are transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). have been transmitted by the International Bureau. have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.  $\boxtimes$ have not been made and will not be made. A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)). 9. A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)). Items 11. to 16. below concern other document(s) or information included: An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included. A FIRST preliminary amendment.

Other items or information: WIPO Publication No. WO 00/08933 with International Search Report; and verified small entity

House Beach Room

16.

A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.

A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.

A substitute specification.

statement.

U.S.	APPLICA	ATION NO (If kno	wn,/ see 37	65 R/1.5	2585	)	INTERNATIONAL APPL PCT/AU99/00					NEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 642-004
17.		The following	<del></del>		<del></del>		<u> </u>			CALCULAT	IONS	PTO USE ONLY
<del> </del>		onal Fee (37 C										<u> </u>
	Neith nor in	er internationa ternational se	al prelimir arch fee	nary exar (37 CFR	mination fee 1.445(a)(2	)) paid	to USPTO		. \$1,000.00 (960)			
	Intern	ational prelim	inary exa	mination	fee (37 CF	R 1.4	82) not paid to		\$860.00 (970)			
							82) not paid to USF I to USPTO		. , , \$710.00 (958)			
							TO (37 CFR 1.482) 33(1)-(4)		\$690.00 (956)			
	Internand a	ational prelim Il claims satis	inary exa fied provi	mination	fee paid to PCT Article	USPT 33(1)	TO (37 CFR 1.482)		\$100.00 (962)			
					ENTER	APP	ROPRIATE BAS	IC F	EE AMOUNT =	\$1,000.0	00	
		of \$130.00 (					aration later than 92(e)).		20 ⊠ 30 □	\$ 130.00		
	Cla	aims	N	lumber F	iled		Number Extra		Rate			
Tota	l Clain	าร			48 -20 =		28		X\$18.00 (966)	\$ 504.00		
Indep	oendei	nt Claims			2 -3 ≈				X\$80.00 (964)	\$		
Multi	iple de	pendent clain	n(s) (if ap	plicable)					+ \$270.00 (968)	\$		
						то	TAL OF ABOVE	E CA	LCULATIONS =	\$1,634.0	00	
Redu	ction	for 1/2 for fili	ng by sm	all entity	, if applical	ole (se	e below).			\$817.00		-
									SUBTOTAL =	\$817.00		
		fee of \$130. om the earlies					translation later the 92(f)).	an	20 🗆 30 🗆	\$		
							TOTA	AL N	ATIONAL FEE =	\$817.00		
Fee an a	for rec	ording the en iate cover she	closed as eet (37 C	signmen FR 3.28,	t (37 CFR 1 , 3.31). <b>\$4</b>	.21(h) 0.00 (	)). The assignment 581) per property	must	be accompanied by	\$		
		191.191.7					TOTAL	FEE	S ENCLOSED =	\$817.00		
										Amount ref	to be: funded	\$
										ct	harged	\$
a.	×	Small entity	status is	hereby o	laimed.							
b.	$\boxtimes$	A check in t	he amour	nt of \$ <u>8</u>	17.00 to d	cover 1	the above fees is er	nclose	ed.			
c.		Please charg	e my Dep	posit Acc	count No. <u>0</u>	<u>2-480</u>	O in the amount of	\$	to cover the abo	ve fees. A du	uplicate	copy of this sheet
d.	Ø						ge any additional fe s sheet is enclosed		hich may be required,	or credit any	overpa	yment to Deposit
	NOT mus	E: Where an t be filed and	appropria granted t	ate time i to restore	limit under : e the applic	37 CFI ation t	R 1.494 or 1.495 hopending status.	nas no	ot been met, a petition	to revive (37	7 CFR 1	.137(a) or (b))
SEN	ND AL	L CORRESPO	NDENCE '	TO:				$\prec$	70 11	1, M	a	
		Teresa St. Burns, Do	ANE, SV		& MATHIS	, L.L.	P. (	SIGN	ATURE	CUIV	0	<u> </u>
		P.O. Box Alexandria (703) 836	a, Virgir	nia 223	313-1404	-		Male NAM	colm K. McGowa <sup>IE</sup>	n, Ph.D.		
								<u>39,</u>	300			
								REGI	STRATION NUMBER			

JC05 Rec'd PCT/PTO 0 9 FEB 2001

Patent

Attorney's Docket No. 032642-004

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Colin Leslie Young

Application No.: TBA (National Stage Filing of

PCT/AU99/00651)

Filed: 11 August 1999

For: MOLLUSC REPELLENT

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Examiner: Unassigned

#### PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination of the above-cited Application on the merits, please amend the application as follows:

#### IN THE CLAIMS:

Please delete claims 49-54 without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein.

Please amend the remaining claims as follows:

- 3. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 1 [or claim 2], wherein the methal is selected from the group of iron(II) or iron(III), [aluminium] aluminum, zinc, or copper.
- 5. (Amended) A mollusc repellent according to [any one of claims 2 to 4] <u>claim 2</u>, wherein the non-transition metal is potassium.

Application No. 09/
National Stage Appln of PCT/AU98/00868
Attorney's Docket No. 025265-155
Page 2

- 6. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of claims 1 to 5] claim 1, wherein the metal oxalate is selected from ferric potassium oxalate or copper oxalate.
- 8. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1, wherein the amount of metal oxalate is between about 2% to 100% by weight of the total composition.
- 11. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1, wherein the carrier is water.
- 13. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 11 [or claim 12], wherein the carrier comprises between about 0% and 98% by weight of the total composition.
- 14. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of the preceding claims] <u>claim 1</u>, wherein the carrier [includes] <u>comprises</u> a binder to facilitate the adhesion of the metal oxalate onto the surface of an article to be treated.
- 18. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of claims 14 to 17] <u>claim 14</u>, wherein the binder comprises between 0.1% and 100% by weight of the carrier.

Application No. <u>09/</u>
National Stage Appln of PCT/AU98/00868
Attorney's Docket No. <u>025265-155</u>
Page 3

- 20. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of the preceeding claims] claim 1 further [including] comprising a fungicide.
- 22. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 20 [or claim 21], wherein the fungicide is selected from copper oxychloride or thiram.
- 23. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any on of claims 20 to 22] claim 20, wherein the fungicide is applied as a coating in combination with a small amount of a non-phytotoxic dye.
- 25. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of the preceding claims] claim 1, wherein the composition further comprises a diluent to enable even coverage of the article to which the repellent is to be attached.
- 27. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 25 [or claim 26], wherein the diluent comprises between about 0% to 95% by weight of the total composition.
- 28. (Amended) A mollusc repellent according to [any one of claims 1 to 14 and claim 16] <u>claim 1</u>, wherein the composition further [includes] <u>comprises</u> a growth hormone.
- 30. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 28 [or claim 29], wherein the growth hormone comprises between about 0.05% and 1% by weight of the total composition.

Application No. 09/
National Stage Appln of PCT/AU98/00868
Attorney's Docket No. 025265-155
Page 4

- 31. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of claims 1 to 30] <u>claim 1</u>, wherein the composition comprises a metal oxalate in combination with at least one other mollusc repellent.
- 32. (Amended) A method for treating an article with the mollusc repellent composition according to [any one of claims 1 to 31, including] <u>claim 1, comprising</u> applying the repellent composition to the surface of the article to be treated.
- 37. (Amended) A method according to [any one of claims 32 to 36] <u>claim 32</u>, wherein the form of the repellent is selected from a solid, a suspension or coating composition.
- 40. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition, according to [any one of claims 1 to 31] claim 1, in the form of a coating composition.
- 41. (Amended) A mollusc repellent composition, according to [any one of claims 1 to 10] claim 1, in the form of a paint.
- 47. (Amended) An article treated with [the] a mollusc repellent composition [according to any one of claims 1 to 31] according to the method of [any one of claims 32 to 39] claim 39.

#### **REMARKS**

Entry of the foregoing and early and favorable consideration of the subject application is respectfully requested.

Application No. <u>09/</u>
National Stage Appln of PCT/AU98/00868
Attorney's Docket No. <u>025265-155</u>
Page 5

By the present amendment, omnibus claims 49-54 have been deleted without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter contained therein. The remaining claims have been amended in order to remove multiple dependency, and to place them in better condition for U.S. practice. No new matter has been added.

In the event that there are any questions concerning the present amendment, or the application in general, the Examiner is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned so that prosecution of this application may be expedited.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

Ву: \_

Malcolm K. McGowan, Ph.D. Registration No. 39,300

P.O. Box 1404 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404 (703) 836-6620

Date: February 9, 2001

Ø 003

00/02/2001 12:13 0397205051

the state of the s

the first field that the first first

MULTICROP

PAGE 02

Attorner	Fatent by's Docket No. 032642
Applicant or Patentee: COLIN LESSATE TOUNG	·
Application or Patent No.1	
Flied or lastred:	1
For: MOLLUSC REPELLENT	1
VERIFIED STATEMENT (DECLARATION) CLAIMING SMALL ENTIT (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.9(f) AND 1.27(a)) - SMALL BUSINESS CON	
I hereby declare that I am	,
[ ] the owner of the small business concern identified below:  [X] an official of the small business concern empowered to act on beha identified below:	f of the concern
NAME OF CONCERN Multicrop (Aust.) Pty Ltd	.1
ADDRESS OF CONCERN 926 Mountain Highway, Beyswater, Victoria, Australia	3153.
I hereby declare that the above-identified small business concern qualifies as a concern as defined in 18 C.F.R. § 1.21 for purposes of paying reduced fees under and 41(b) of Title 35, United States Code, in that the number of employees including those of its affiliates, does not exceed 600 persons. For purposes of this number of employees of the business concern is the average, over the previous the concern, of the persons employed on a full-time, part-time, or temporary basis the pay periods of the fiscal year, and (2) concerns are affiliates of each other when or indirectly, one concern controls or has the power to control the other, or a third controls or has the power to control both.	r Sections 41(a) of the concern, a statement, (1) us fiscal year of s during each of n either, directly
I hereby declare that rights under contract or law have been conveyed to and remain business concern identified above with regard to the invention antitled by invention.	n with the small or(s) described
the specification filed herewith Application No. , filed Patent No. , issued	i .
	•

the nights had by the above-identified small business concern are not exclusive, each individual, concern, or organization having rights to the invention is listed below, and no rights to the invention are half by said to the invention are half by said to the invention are half by said to the Invention are held by any person, other than the inventor, who would not qualify as an independent inventor under 37 C.F.R. \$ 1.9(a), or by any concern that would not qualify as either a small business concern under 37 C.F.R. 5 1.9(d) or a nonprofit organization under 37 C.F.R. 5 1.9(e).

\*NOTE: Separate verified statements are required from each named person, concern, or organization having rights to the invention averring to their status as small entities. (87 C.F.R. § 1.27.)

Ø004

00/02/2001 12:13 0397205051 07/02 '01 17:21 FAL 01 3 4000 1000

The final light final time come to come the contract of the co

MULTICROP

PAGE 83

Application No. \_032642-004

ame			
_			1
D <b>or</b> ess.	[ ] individual	[ ] small business concern	[ ] nonprofit organization
AME			
DRESS.	1 Individual	[ ] small business concern	1 nonprofit organization
	[ ] uightham	f. I gestern befatt balb. Act sees 11.	T Houbstoff Affarthment
no longa eraby d	r appropriate. (37 eclare that all ata	C.F.R. § 1.28(b).) tements made herein of my	e date on which status as a small emity  own knowledge are true and that all d to be true; and further that these
atements Iniskable Ide; and	were made with to by fine or imprisor that such willful f	rment, or both, under Section	se statements and the like so made are 1001 of Title 18 of the United States ize the validity of the application, any a statement is directed.
itements niskable ide; and tent lesu	were made with the by fine or imprisor that such willful fing thereon, or any	unent, or both, under Section alse statements may jeopard	1 1001 of Title 18 of the United States ize the velidity of the application, any
ttements iniskable ide; and tent lesu	were made with the by fine or imprisor that such willful fing thereon, or any PERSON SIGNING	ment, or both, under Section alse statements may jeopard y patent to which this verified	1 1001 of Title 18 of the United States ize the velidity of the application, any
atements unishable pde; and stent issui  AME OF 6	were made with a by fine or imprisor that such willful fing thereon, or any PERSON SIGNING, PERSON OTHER THOSE PERSON SIGNING.	ment, or both, under Section talse statements may jeopard y petent to which this verified COLIN LESLIE YOUNG IAN OWNER Consultant	1 1001 of Title 18 of the United States ize the velidity of the application, any

1 ;

# MOLLUSC REPELLENT

### Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a repellent for molluscs. More particularly, the present invention relates to a mollusc repellent, which is environmentally friendly being both non-phytotoxic and harmless to non-target animals, and therefore suitable for use in sustainable agriculture, where the use of toxic chemicals is unacceptable.

### Background to the Invention

Slugs and snails are major pests of agriculture in many parts of the world. One particular species of slug, the grey field slug, Deroceras reticulatum, is a common horticultural and agricultural pest in Australia, which causes extensive damage to agricultural crops and garden plants.

Significant crop damage by slugs and snails also occurs in the United Kingdom, Northern Europe, the Middle East, North and Central America, South East Asia, Japan and New Zealand. In many cases, the rise to pest status of the slug in question is a consequence of change either in distribution (as in the case of accidental or deliberate introductions) or in agricultural practice.

In the recent past, fields awaiting seed planting were subjected to a thorough ploughing which actually resulted in the destruction of slug populations submerged beneath the surface of the soil. This maximum tillage of the soil, though, was found to destroy many beneficial characteristics of the soil structure. More recently, in order to reduce expenditure involved in such thorough ploughing and to overcome the difficulties associated with ploughing in muddy, boggy soils, the practice of minimum tillage and the use of drill holes has increasingly been adopted prior to seed planting. The advent of minimum tillage has contributed significantly to the level of slug populations rising to pest status.

25

10

15

20

25

30

Slugs are a major agricultural pest causing significant crop damage because they bury themselves in the soil and then move into the drill holes into which new crop seeds have been planted. Once the seed has been placed in the drill holes, the slugs attack the seed and eat the inside essentially leaving an empty husk, thereby potentially destroying the whole planting. There is therefore an urgent need for a method of protecting seeds from destruction by slugs prior to the seeds being planted.

There are several other instances where a solution to deal with slug populations is required. For example, in order to control weeds and reduce moisture loss by evaporation, it has been common practice to employ sheets of black polythene laid on the ground around the plants and under organic mulching material. The problem associated with such sheets is that they have to be dug up to dispose of them, they are unsightly and they do not allow passage of water through them. Clearly, the use of such material, which is non-biodegradable, is environmentally unacceptable.

One solution to this problem, which is the subject of Australian Patent No. AU-B-64756/94, has been to employ recycled newspaper waste by reconstituting it into matting, in the form commonly known as "weed mats." Such matting is environmentally friendly since it employs a recycled resource which naturally decomposes. The problem encountered in the use of such matting, however, is that the recycled paper mat is attacked by slugs present in the soil upon which the matting is laid. This attack reduces the effective lifespan of the matting thereby making such a slug barrier unattractive to consumers. In addition, the laying of such matting is very labour-intensive and time-consuming and it would be preferable if the time interval between successive applications could be extended as much as possible. None of the molluscicides presently available on the market are suitable for this application since their efficacy

15

20

25

30

reduces rapidly on contact with water and so would be ineffective in extending the lifespan of the weed mats.

Another case where slugs present a problem is in the agricultural practice of growing brussel sprouts. These crops present one of the few instances where slugs actually move up onto the plant and shelter in the plant itself, rather than stay in the soil and attack the root system. The problem presented in this case is that a slug repellent is required which is non-phytotoxic as well as being harmless to human beings, the eventual consumers of the crop. A further problem is that the slug repellent cannot be in the form of a pellet, but rather in the form of a spray since the repellent has to coat the leaves of the plant. Preferably, the slugs must be prevented from climbing up onto the plants at a very early stage because once they have done so, it has previously been found to be very difficult to remove them. It has been proposed that growers confronted with this problem may use a surfactant repellent such as CeTAB, cetyl tertiary ammonium bromide. However, the efficacy of this repellent is short-lived, since the compound is soluble and washes off in the rain or during overhead irrigation.

As was also mentioned above, one of the ways in which mollusc populations can achieve pest status is that they can be accidentally introduced. One such mode of accidental introduction is where molluscs attach themselves onto the hulls of ships which move from port to port. One way in which such accidental introductions could be prevented is for a mollusc repellent to be applied to the ship's hull thereby preventing the initial harbouring of the mollusc. In the United States, a considerable problem has been encountered in the control of the introduced Zebra mussel, which attaches itself to the inlet and outlet pipes of cooling systems for industrial power generators. This mollusc has now reached plague proportions. To solve the mollusc problems encountered in these two aquatic situations, care has to be taken to apply a mollusc repellent that is not soluble and does not endanger aquatic life. None of the known

15

20

25

30

mollusc repellents would be useful in this application all being either too soluble or too toxic.

Chemical methods (i.e. the use of molluscicides), involving the use of stomach poisons for the control of these pests, are well known. Molluscicides containing metaldehyde and methiocarb have been in use for some time, but these are themselves toxic to non-target animals and human beings and in the form of pellets, they also deteriorate upon exposure to water and in particular, rain and are not sufficiently durable as long-term repellents.

The use of metal complexes in molluscicides was first disclosed in Australian Patent AU-B-22526/88 entitled: "Aluminium(III) and Iron(III) complexes exhibiting molluscicidal activity", in the name of Henderson et al. In one of their studies, these inventors compared the relative toxicities of some aluminium and iron salts and chelates and their efficacies as stomach poisons by injecting known amounts into the gut lumen of molluscs and they found that the metal chelates were more toxic than their corresponding salts Metal chelates were also first trialed by Henderson et al as contact-action poisons. In one particular study, Henderson used the metal chelate, FeEDTA, as the toxic agent, finding it just as effective as various salts of Fe(III). (Henderson, I.F. et al, in "A New Group of Molluscicidal Compounds," BCPC mono, (1989), 41, "Slugs and Snails in World Agriculture", pp 289-294 eds. Henderson, I.F., British Protection Council, Farnham, U.K.). More recently, Australian Patent No. 683405 entitled: "Ingestible Mollusc Poisons," disclosed a terrestrial mollusc stomach poison containing as the active ingredient either ferric edetate or the ferric hydroxy-ethyl derivative of edetic acid. These workers have also shown that mixtures of iron salts such as ferric sulphate, ferric chloride or ferric nitrate when mixed together with disodium EDTA or EDTA, as such, are toxic to the slug species, Deroceras reticulatum. The present inventor has also developed a stomach-action molluscicide, disclosed in Australian Patent Application No: 689399, containing the oxo-dimer, [EDTA-Fe-O-

10

15

20

25

30

Fe-EDTA]4- as the active ingredient wherein the bait formulation itself was found to be more palatable to molluscs and therefore also more efficacious. While metal chelate-derived molluscicides are preferable to the use of the more toxic alternatives, such molluscicides are all soluble and are therefore not applicable to any of the problems outlined above.

Dawson et al, in BCPC Symposium Proceedings, (1996), 66, "Slug & Snail Pests in Agriculture," p 439-444. eds. Henderson, I.F., British Protection Council, Farnham, U.K.) have investigated the repellency of a range of surfactants to the slug, Deroceras reticulatum. They found that in particular, tetraammonium salts were highly repellent and some polyphenylpolyethoxylates were also repellent with the degree of repellency varying with the degree of ethoxylation. These workers were interested in using surfactants as a repellent to crops. Their laboratory tests showed that crawling slugs rapidly detect and are deterred by topical applications of chemicals at low deposit rates. They concluded however, that surfactants were of limited use in this type of application, since they were rapidly removed from the plant by rain, by condensation or by irrigation spraying.

Mollusc repellent formulations commonly in use contain methiocarb, copper sulphate, aluminium sulphate, ammonium alum and thiram to mention a few. Aluminium sulphate and ammonium alum work as taste repellents, whilst methiocarb and thiram are toxic poisons. Copper sulphate and copper oxychloride have been used for some time as slug repellents but they are too soluble to be effective as a slug repellent for the above-mentioned application to seeds and moreover both have a low LD50 value (see Table 1) by virtue of them being soluble and therefore being able to enter the blood stream by biochemical degradation. In addition, they are both phytotoxic Copper silicate, which is the subject of Australian Patent Application No AU-B-27621/92, is also believed to function as a fungicide and a pesticide. Although this compound is not phytotoxic, it is too soluble to be able to function

10

15

20

25

30

effectively as the active ingredient in a durable mollusc repellent composition for a seed coating or for any of the applications mentioned above.

Accordingly, it is an object of the present invention to provide a mollusc repellent which achieves the hitherto unavailable requirement of prolonged repellency. It is also an object of the present invention to provide a mollusc repellent, which is environmentally friendly being both non-phytotoxic and harmless to non-target animals, and therefore suitable for use in sustainable agriculture, where the use of toxic chemicals is unacceptable.

The present study concentrated on finding an active ingredient, for both horticultural and agricultural purposes, which acted both as a repellent to molluscs and one that remained efficacious in field conditions on prolonged exposure to the environment. To this extent, the study was also directed to finding a mollusc repellent that was effective in both marine and fresh-water environments. The results of trials conducted on several metal complexes revealed that some metal oxalates, and copper oxalate, in particular, when applied in either humid or moist environments, showed a surprisingly propensity to remain efficacious for a prolonged period of time.

In addition, the acute toxicity of copper oxalate is not known, but it is estimated that the toxicity is low (i.e. greater than 1000 mg/kg of body weight). This estimation is based on the following reasoning. Copper oxalate has extremely low solubility in water over a considerable range of pH. It is thought that only a minuscule amount of copper oxalate will be absorbed in the mammalian gut. The toxicity of a range of copper compounds is known and these are summarised in Table 1. It is apparent that compounds with low solubility are not very toxic. Oxalates are known to be toxic and some data are given in Table I. Oxalates occur in a wide range of natural products including some foods, such as tea, wheat, spinach and rhubarb. Actual poisoning by oxalate is known to arise more

10

15

20

25

from natural sources than from synthetic materials. It appears that the toxicity of oxalates is due to the fact that they chelate metals that are needed for biochemical/metabolic processes.

Copper oxalate itself occurs naturally in the soil due to the decomposition of organic matter. The fact that copper oxalate is very insoluble seems to be employed by certain common soil fungi, Aspergillus niger, which has been found to solubilize a number of minerals including cuprite, CuO2 with no reduction in growth rate. (Sayer, J.A., Kierans, M. and Gadd, G.M., "Solubilisation of some Naturally Occurring Metalbearing Minerals, Limescale and Lead Phosphate by Aspergillus niger," FEMS Microbiology Letters, (1997), 154, 29-35, published by Elsevier). After one to two day's growth on cuprite at 25°C, a precipitate of copper oxalate was observed. It was suggested that this oxalate formation represented a reduction in bioavailability of toxic cations and represented an important means of toxic metal immobilisation of physiological and environmental significance. A certain amount of copper is, of course essential for both plant and animal life, it being an important co-enzyme in a number of biochemical reactions. The average daily requirement for man is estimated to be 2-5 mg per day (Henry Osiecki, "Nutrients in Profile," (1995), published by Bioconcepts Pty Ltd)

# Summary of the Invention

According to one aspect of the present invention, there is provided a mollusc repellent composition including an effective amount of a substantially insoluble metal oxalate and a suitable carrier therefor.

Preferably, the metal of the metal oxalate is selected from a transition metal or a transition metal in combination with a non-transition metal. More preferably, the metal is selected from the group of iron(II) or iron(III), aluminium, zinc or copper. Most preferably, the metal is copper. Preferably, the non-transition metal is potassium. Preferably, the metal

10

15

20

25

30

oxalate is selected from ferric potassium oxalate or copper oxalate. Most preferably, the metal oxalate is copper oxalate.

Typically, the amount of metal oxalate required for efficacy is between about 2% and 100% by weight of the total composition of the repellent. Preferably, the amount of metal oxalate is between about 2% and 10% by weight of the total composition. Most preferably, the amount is about 5% by weight of the total composition.

Preferably, the carrier of the composition is water, such that the metal oxalate forms an aqueous suspension Preferably, the carrier comprises between about 0% and 98% by weight of the total composition.

Typically, the repellent composition is applied to an article from which it is desired to repel molluscs. Preferably, the article includes animate and inanimate articles. More preferably, the animate article is selected from seeds, including wheat, barley, grass (clover, phalaris, rye and cocksfoot), canola seeds, fruit or vegetables. More preferably, the inanimate article is selected from weed mats, inlet and outlet pipes for cooling systems, hulls of ships and other sub-aqueous structures, driveways or parking aprons of homes and grow-bags, but is not limited to these.

The mollusc repellent is advantageously presented in a solid form, such as tablets, powders, granules, as a suspension or as a coating composition. Preferably, the coating composition is in the form of a paint. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that it is preferable to prepare the products, which are the subject of the invention, in a form that is easy for consumers to use.

In a preferred form of the invention, the carrier of the composition includes a binder, which facilitates the adhesion of the metal oxalate onto the surface of the article to be treated. Preferably, where the repellent composition is to be applied to an animate article, the binder is selected from gum arabic or gum acacia. Preferably, where the repellent is to be

10

15

20

25

applied to inanimate articles, the binder is selected from a waterproof binder such as paraffin wax, white oil, casein or polyvinylacetate. Preferably, the binder comprises between about 0.1% and 100% by weight of the carrier of the composition. More preferably, the binder comprises 0.5% to 3% by weight of the carrier.

Preferably, the composition further includes a fungicide such that the article is protected from attack by a fungus. Preferably, the fungicide is selected from copper oxychloride or thiram. Preferably, the fungicide comprises about 0.05% to 1.0% by weight of the total composition.

Typically, the fungicide is applied as a coating in combination with a small amount of a non-phytotoxic dye, the latter being used as an indicator that the seeds have been treated with a fungicide Preferably. the non-phytotoxic dye comprises less than about 1% by weight of the total composition.

Preferably, the carrier further comprises a diluent to ensure even coverage of the article to which the repellent is to be applied. Preferably, the diluent is selected from a silicate, gypsum or limestone. Preferably, the diluent comprises between about 0 % to 95% by weight of the carrier.

According to yet another aspect of the invention, the composition comprises a metal oxalate in combination with at least one other mollusc repellent.

Preferably, where the treated article is a seed having the potential to produce at least one root, the composition further includes a growth hormone such that the hormone is readily available to the at least one root as it emerges from the seed. Preferably, the growth hormone is a seaweed extract. Preferably, the growth hormone comprises between about 0.05% and 1% by weight of the total composition.

In another preferred embodiment, the repellent composition is in the form of a paint, wherein the carrier is preferably selected from an

10

15

20

25

30

aqueous surfactant solution, an aqueous polyvinylacetate solution or an oil-based paint.

The mollusc repellent may also conveniently be presented as a two-part composition, wherein the first part comprises an effective amount of an aqueous solution of oxalic acid or soluble metal oxalate and the second part comprises an effective amount of an aqueous solution of a soluble metal salt, whereby sequential application of the two solutions, in either order, results in the in-situ preparation of a substantially insoluble metal oxalate as an aqueous suspension. Preferably, the metal oxalate is selected from ferrous oxalate, ferric ammonium/potassium oxalate or copper oxalate. Preferably, the oxalic acid and the metal salt are present in equimolar amounts. Preferably further, the concentration of the metal salt solution is about 5% by weight of the total two-part composition. Such use of two environmentally acceptable chemicals renders such a mollusc repellent suitable for sustainable agriculture purposes.

According to another aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of treating an article with the mollusc repellent, which is the subject of the invention, including applying the composition to the surface of the article to be treated. Tablets, powders or granules can be sprinkled over the ground or in places where molluscs congregate, such as letterboxes, to repel the molluscs. An aqueous suspension of metal oxalate composition as detailed above can be used for coating seeds, for spraying on driveways, for spraying on the trunks of fruit trees or on vegetable seedlings, such as brussel sprouts. A repellent composition can be applied either in the solid form, by sprinkling on the surface of, or in the form of a coating composition by coating on the surface of, the lining of grow-bags, used by the home gardener, or on at least one of the lavers that comprise "weed mats", as described above. A repellent composition in the form of a paint, can be applied to sub-aqueous surfaces which are potentially susceptible to mollusc colonies forming thereon, such as inlet and outlet pipes for cooling systems or on the hulls of ships, to prevent the introduction of foreign species of unwanted molluscs from other parts of the world.

According to another aspect of the invention, the invention provides an article, which has been treated with the mollusc repellent composition. Preferably, the treated article is selected from a seed, a weed mat, a citrus tree, a driveway, a sub-aqueous structure such as an inlet or outlet pipe or a ship's hull, a grow-bag, a vegetable seedling, but is not limited to one of these

The scope of the present invention also extends to the use of the mollusc repellent composition by, for example, spreading the repellent composition in a solid form as tablets, granules or as a powder; by coating animate or manimate articles with the composition, for example, by spraying vegetable seedlings or driveways with an aqueous suspension of the repellent composition; by applying a paint including the composition to sub-aqueous inlet or outlet pipes, ships' hulls or any other sub-aqueous structure where molluscs are likely to congregate; or by coating layers of decomposable material incorporated into weed mats with the composition. These examples for the use of the composition are by no means limiting.

### **Examples**

10

15

20

25

The oral toxicity of several soluble copper compounds and oxalates which have been extracted from "SAX'S Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials," edited by Lewis, R.J., are given in Table 1. LD50 values have generally been given for the rat since these were available for all the chemicals of interest in this study. However, where available, LD50 values for human beings have also been given

Table 1. Oral Toxicity of several soluble copper compounds and oxalates

Chemical Compound	LD50 (Rat) mg/kg	LD50(Human) mg/kg
Copper acetate	595	
Copper oxychloride	700	
Copper citrate	1580	
Copper nitrate	940	
Copper sulphate	300	50
Copper hydroxide		200
Oxalic acıd	7500	
Potassium oxalate	660	

The results in Table 1 show that several copper compounds have shown quite considerable toxicity to the rat and to the human being, whereas because copper oxalate is insoluble, its LD50 values both for the rat and the human being would be expected to be large

The invention will now be illustrated with reference to the following non-limiting Examples

# Example 1.

5

10

15

There are many possible variables to consider when evaluating mollusc repellents. Field trials are often poorly controlled and it is often difficult to arrive at unambiguous conclusions. It is impossible to apply extensive statistical analysis to poorly designed or controlled experiments. However, a series of simple experiments in which variables are controlled lead to unambiguous conclusions with no need for statistical analysis. It

10

15

20

25

was decided to compare the repellents under laboratory conditions, which could closely mimic controlled field conditions

Repellency of the test compounds was tested using the sectorised filter technique of Bowen and Antoine (see Bowen, I D and Antoine, S., "Molluscicide Formulation Studies," **International Journal of Pest Management**, (1995), **41(2)**, 74-78.)

The compounds tested were copper oxalate and CeTAB (cetyl tertiary ammonium bromide). One sector of the filter paper was used as the control and this was moistened with distilled water (1 ml). The other half was moistened with the test compound. The copper oxalate used in the trial was insoluble and so was rubbed into the filter paper and the weight of the solid held on the filter paper was calculated. The paper containing the copper oxalate was moistened with water after the addition of the chemical.

Filter sectors were placed on the lid of a plastic tub. The tub was inverted and was used to make a closed test arena. A small gap of 2 mm was left between the two filter sectors so as to prevent mixing of the test paper with the control. One juvenile Helix aspersa was introduced to the control sector and was allowed to remain in the arena overnight

Attractancy / repellency was detected by dusting the filter paper with charcoal to reveal the slug trails. The results were quantified by placing a transparent grid over the test sectors and measuring the area covered by snail trails. Any phagostimulatory effect of the test compound was indicated by the consumption of the treated paper.

## Results

The results of the arena trials including the final position of each snail at the end of the experiment, the percentage of the filter paper section eaten and the percentage trail cover are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Results of attractancy / repellency tests on Copper oxalate and CeTAB

Treatment	Concentration	Final Position	% Secto	or Eaten	% Cove	ered
	(mg/cm2)		Test	Control	Test Contro	[
Control		C	5	3	84	88
		С	5	1	88	80
		Т	0	5	88	77
CeTAB	0.2	R	0	2	20	86.7
,	0.2		0	4	22	77 8
	0.2	R	0	1	42 2	75.6
	2.0	R	0	4	0	62.2
	2.0	R	0	5	<u> </u>	66.6
	20	R	0	3	<u> </u>	71 1
Copper oxalate	2 11	R	0	5	0	71 1
<u> </u>	1.61	R	0	4	4.4	88
	2.43	R	- +	2	0	68.9
	2.47	R	0	5	0	86.7
	3 46	R	0	1	4 4	66.7
	3.19	<u> </u>	0	2	0	- 88
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<del></del>		

where R: roof of the container; T = test sector, and C = control sector.

# **Summary of Results**

The results show that snails were strongly repelled by the copper oxalate. In all, of the six snails tested, none were found in the test sector at the end of the experiment and there was no consumption of the test filter paper. There was very little mucous cover compared to the control

The positive control, CeTAB, was also found to act as a repellent. Indeed, there was a concentration-related response, more snails being repelled by 2.0 mg/1 CeTAB, compared to 0.2 mg/l CeTAB.

# Example 2.

In the following experiment, various seeds were coated with copper oxalate and ferric potassium oxalate, respectively and fed to the slug, Deroceras reticulatum. The damage to these seeds was compared to damage to control seeds, which were left untreated. The percentage of seeds that were damaged was calculated and is given in Table 3.

Table 3. Protection afforded to seeds by Copper oxalate and Ferric potassium oxalate

Treatment	% Sec	eds damaged	% Seed	s damaged	% Seeds damaged
	Whea	it seeds	Pea see	eds	Broad beans
	After		After		After
4	5 day	s 7 days	5 days	7 days	7 days
Control	37	87	15	33	5
Copper oxalate	0	2	-	7	5
Ferric	3	20	15	17	0
potassium oxalate	9				

# **Summary of Results**

It can be seen from the above results that copper oxalate is a very effective slug repellent for wheat and pea seeds.

# Example 3.

In the following experiment, the efficacy of copper oxalate for the protection of seeds was tested and compared to **BAYSOL®** and **MULTIGUARD®**. The results are shown in Table 4. Seeds were coated with an aqueous suspension of copper oxalate, dried and fed to the slug, Deroceras reticulatum

Table 4. Protection afforded to wheat and pea seeds by copper oxalate compared to BAYSOL® and MULTIGUARD®

Treatment	% Seeds damaged	% Seeds damaged
	Wheat seeds	Pea seeds
	After 9 days	After 7 days
Control	72	23
Copper oxalate	10	8
MULTIGUARD®		2
BAYSOL®	10	4

**MULTIGUARD**® and **BAYSOL**® are registered trade marks of Multicrop® (Aust.) Pty Ltd and Bayer, respectively.

# Summary of Results

10

15

The above results show that copper oxalate dramatically reduces the amount of damage to wheat seeds and does so to the same extent as **BAYSOL®**, a toxic molluscicide containing methiocarb. Copper oxalate was also found to be effective at protecting pea seeds from damage by slugs although not as effectively as **MULTIGUARD®** which was more effective then **BAYSOL®**. These latter two products also resulted in the death of the slugs involved in the trial.

### Example 4.

In the following experiment, the repellency of copper oxalate was investigated when incorporated in a paint composition. In this example, the carrier used was a common aqueous PVA solution sold in Australia under the brand name of **Bond Crete** 

Thirty-three 1 litre containers were used each with 3 layers of paper towel covering the bottom of the container Half of the uppermost surface of each of the paper towels was coated with a solution made from 1 part copper oxalate . 10 parts water · 1 part PVA emulsion. This coating was applied at a rate of about 2 ml per 100 cm2 and allowed to dry. The towel was then moistened with approximately 20 ml of water. One snail, Cernuella virgata, was placed in the centre of the paper towels at the border of the coated and the uncoated half in each container. The snails were observed to move away from the coated area or move along the border without moving on to the coated area. Some of the snails were observed to move away from the bottom of the container at night, but most returned to the moist paper towel in the morning. The snails consumed some of the untreated paper No consumption of the treated paper was detected. After 7 days, the number of snails on the treated and untreated sections of the paper towel were counted, together with the number on the sides and lid of the container. The results are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Investigation of the repellency of copper oxalate in a coating composition

Area where snail located in	No. of snails in area
container	
On lid of container	1
On side of container	1
On treated half of paper	8
On untreated half of paper	23

25

20

10

# Summary of results

5

10

15

These results show that when incorporated into a coating composition, copper oxalate functions effectively as a mollusc repellent

Those skilled in the art will appreciate that the invention described herein is susceptible to variations and modifications other than those specifically described. It is to be understood that the invention includes all such variations and modifications. The invention also includes all of the steps, features, compositions and compounds referred to or indicated in this specification (unless specifically excluded) individually or collectively, and any and all combinations of any two or more of said steps or features.

Where the terms "comprise", "comprises", "comprised" or "comprising" are used in this specification, they are to be interpreted as specifying the presence of the stated features, integers, steps or components referred to, but not to preclude the presence or addition of one or more other feature, integer, step, component or group thereof.

#### CLAIMS

- 1. A mollusc repellent composition including an effective amount of a substantially insoluble metal oxalate and a suitable carrier therefor
- 2 A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 1, wherein the metal of the metal oxalate is selected from a transition metal or a transition metal in combination with a non-transition metal.
- A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 1 or
   claim 2, wherein the metal is selected from the group of iron(II) or iron(III),
   aluminium, zinc or copper
  - A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 3, wherein the metal is copper
- 5. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 2 to 4, wherein the non-transition metal is potassium.
  - 6 A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein the metal oxalate is selected from ferric potassium oxalate or copper oxalate
- 7. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 6, wherein the metal oxalate is copper oxalate.
  - 8. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of the preceeding claims, wherein the amount of metal oxalate is between about 2% to 100% by weight of the total composition.
- 9 A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 8, wherein the amount of metal oxalate is between about 2% and 10% by weight of the total composition.
  - 10. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 9, wherein the amount is about 5% by weight of the total composition.

10

15

- 11. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the carrier is water.
- 12 A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 11, wherein the metal oxalate is present as an aqueous suspension.
- 13. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 11 or claim 12, wherein the carrier comprises between about 0% and 98% by weight of the total composition.
  - A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein carrier includes a binder to facilitate the adhesion of the metal oxalate onto the surface of an article to be treated.
  - 15 A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 14, wherein the article is selected from an animate or an inanimate article.
  - 16. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 15, wherein the binder is selected from gum arabic or gum acacia where the repellent is to be applied to an animate article.
  - 17. A mollusc repellent composition according claim 15, wherein the binder is selected from a waterproof binder such as paraffin wax, white oil, casein or polyvinylacetate where the repellent is applied to inanimate articles.
- 20 18. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 14 to 17, wherein the binder comprises between 0.1% and 100% by weight of the carrier.
  - 19. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 18, wherein the binder comprises between 0.5 and 3% by weight of the carrier.
    - 20. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of the preceding claims further including a fungicide.

- 21. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 20, wherein the fungicide comprises about 0.05% to 1.0% by weight of the total composition.
- 22. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 20 or claim 21, wherein the fungicide is selected from copper oxychloride or thiram.
  - 23. A mollusc repellent composition according to any on of claims 20 to 22, wherein the fungicide is applied as a coating in combination with a small amount of a non-phytotoxic dye.
- 10 24 A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 23, wherein the non-phytotoxic dye comprises less than about 1% by weight of the total composition
  - 25. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the composition further comprises a diluent to enable even coverage of the article to which the repellent is to be applied.
  - 26. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 25, wherein the diluent is selected from a silicate, gypsum or limestone.
- A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 25 or claim 26, wherein the diluent comprises between about 0% to 95% by weight of the total composition
  - 28. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 1 to 14 and claim 16, wherein the composition further includes a growth hormone.
- 25. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 28, wherein the growth hormone is a seaweed extract
  - 30. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 28 or claim 29, wherein the growth hormone comprises between about 0.05% and 1% by weight of the total composition.

- 31. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 1 to 30, wherein the composition comprises a metal oxalate in combination with at least one other mollusc repellent.
- 32. A method for treating an article with the mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 1 to 31, including applying the repellent composition to the surface of the article to be treated.
  - 33. A method according to claim 32, wherein the article to be treated is selected from an animate or an inanimate article.
- 34. A method according to claim 33, wherein the animate article
  10 is a seed having the potential to produce at least one root, and the growth
  hormone is readily available to the at least one root as it emerges from the
  seed.
  - 35. A method according to claim 34, wherein the seed selected from the group of wheat, barley or clover seeds, phalaris, rye or cocksfoot grass seeds, canola seeds, fruit or vegetable seeds
  - 36. A method according to claim 33, wherein the inanimate article is selected from weed mats, inlet and outlet pipes for cooling systems, hulls of ships, driveways of homes or grow-bags.
- 37 A method according to any one of claims 32 to 36, wherein the form of the repellent is selected from a solid, a suspension or coating composition.
  - 38. A method according to claim 37, wherein the solid form of the repellent is selected from tablets, granules or a powder
- 39. A method according to claim 37, wherein the suspension is in the form of a spray.
  - 40. A mollusc repellent composition, according to any one of claims 1 to 31, in the form of coating composition.
  - 41. A mollusc repellent composition, according to any one of claims 1 to 10, in the form of a paint.

25

- 42. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 41, wherein the carrier is selected from an aqueous surfactant solution, an aqueous polyvinylacetate solution or an oil-based paint.
- 43. A mollusc repellent composition suitable for sustainable agricultural purposes including:
  - (i) an effective amount of an aqueous solution of oxalic acid or soluble metal oxalate, and
  - (ii) an effective amount of an aqueous solution of a soluble metal salt,

whereby sequential application of the two solutions, in either order, results in the in-situ preparation of a substantially insoluble metal oxalate as an aqueous suspension.

- 44. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 42, wherein the metal oxalate is selected from ferrous oxalate, ferric ammonium/potassium oxalate or copper oxalate
  - 45. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 43, wherein the oxalic acid and the metal salt are present in equimolar amounts.
- 46. A mollusc repellent composition according to claim 44, wherein the concentration of the metal salt solution is about 5% by weight of the total composition.
  - 47. An article treated with the repellent composition according to any one of claims 1 to 31 according to the method of any one of claims 32 to 39.
  - 48. An article according to claim 46, wherein the treated article is selected from a seed, a weed mat, a citrus tree, an inlet or outlet pipe, a ship's hull, a grow bag or a vegetable seedling.

- 49. Use of the mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 1 to 31 substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to any one of the Examples.
- 50. A mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 1 to 31 substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to any one of the Examples.
  - 51. A method of for treating an article with the mollusc repellent composition according to any one of claims 1 to 31, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to any one of the Examples.
- 10 52. A coating composition according to claim 40, substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to any one of the Examples.
  - 53. A paint according to claim 41 or claim 42, substantially as hereinbefore described.
- 54. A mollusc repellent composition suitable for sustainable agriculture purposes according to any one of claims 42 to 45, substantially as hereinbefore described.

# **ABSTRACT**

A mollusc repellent, which includes a substantially insoluble metal oxalate and a suitable carrier therefor, which exhibits prolonged efficacy.

The mollusc repellent is environmentally friendly, being both non-phytotoxic and harmless to non-target animals, and is therefore suitable for use in sustainable agriculture, where the use of toxic chemicals is unacceptable. The mollusc repellent can be used in the form of a solid or as a coating composition

PAGE 84

Reference to Provisional and	ENT APPLICATION AND FOWE 4 PCT international Applications	)	1 .03	2642*(				
low named investor, I hereby dence, post office address and e I am the original, first and a al names are listed below) of t i: MOLLUSC REPEL	declare that: i chizzenship ere as stated below: i chizzenship ere as stated below: ide inventor (if only one name is the subject matter which is claim  LENT	next to my partie; listed below) or an or ed and for which a par	iginal, fir en is solu	ent on the	at intentor invention			
MOLLEGIO								
the specification of which (	check only one item below):			r				
is anached hereto.								
was filed as United	States application							
Number	States abblication							
on was smended		/:F melicable	9.					
Of1		(II approus	·	1				
	a tantindim			,				
DCT/A	DCT/A199/00031							
on II Augus	on II August, 1999  and was amended (if applicable).  on							
		(it appression	w). www.firatio	a includi	ing the claims.			
	A made would five contestiff Of	INS SOCIAL PROPERTY IN		•				
denowledge the duty to disclose	se to the Office all information k	nown to me to be mate	erial to par	entability	as defined in			
denowledge the duty to disclor is 37. Code of Pederal Regula excity claim foreign priority be tent of inventor's certificate or sited States of America listed to crificate or any PCT internation and by one on the same subject	te to the Office all information kerions, §1.56.  suchs under Title 35, United Strong under Title 35, United Strong and application of any PCT international application and the spilostion of t	nown to me to be main ster Code, §119 (a)-(a stion(s) designating a slow any foreign appli- least one country othe e that of the application	of any for least one cation(s) for than the m(s) of wi	entability oreign app country or patent United St ich priori	as defined in  dicadon(s) for  ther than the  or inventor's  ales of America  by is claimed:			
dimowledge the duty to disclor is 37. Code of Federal Regula entity claim foreign priority be tent or inventor's certificate or sited States of America listed I rifficate or any PCT internation and by one on the same subject	te to the Office all information kerions, §1.56.  suchs under Title 35, United Strong under Title 35, United Strong and application of any PCT international application and the spilostion of t	ates Code, \$119 (a)-(a ation(s) designating a slow any foreign appli- least one country other e that of the application	of any for least one cation(s) for than the cation(s) of with the cation(s) of with the cation (s) of with the cat	entability oreign appropriately or patent United St aich priori	as defined in  plication(s) for ther than the or inventor's ales of America ty is claimed:			
denowledge the duty to disclose is 37. Code of Pederal Regular creby claim foreign priority better to inventor's certificate or inventor's certificate or inventor's reference listed trifficate or any PCT international by one on the same subject RIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATION.	te to the Office all information ketions, §1.56.  specific under Title 35, United St of any PCT international application and inverse also identified being and inverse also identified being an implication (a) designating at matter having a filing date before CATION(S) AND ANY PRIOF APPLICATION NUMBER	nown to me to be main ster Code, §119 (a)-(a stion(s) designating a slow any forcign appli- least one country othe e that of the application DATE OF FILING (day, month, yea	of any for least one cation(s) for than the cation(s) of with the cation(s) of with the cation (s) of with the cat	entability occign app country o or patent United S alch prior PRIC	as defined in  plication(s) for ther than the or inventor's ales of America by is claimed:  B:  PRITY CLAIMED  R 35 U.S.C. \$119			
denowledge the duty to disclor le 37. Code of Federal Regula emby claim foreign priority beant or inventor's certificate or sited States of America listed I milicate or any PCT internation ed by me on the same subject	the to the Office all information kerions, §1.56.  such under Title 35, United Strong under Title 35, United Strong and any PCT international application and have also identified below and application(a) designating at matter having a filing date before the period of	ates Code, \$119 (a)-(a ation(s) designating a slow any foreign appli- least one country other e that of the application	of any for least one cation(s) for than the cation(s) of with the cation(s) of with the cation (s) of with the cat	entability oreign appropriately or patent United St aich priori	as defined in  dicadon(s) for  there than the  or inventor's  alter of America  by is claimed:  B:  ORITY CLAIMED  A 35 U.S.C. \$119			
denowledge the duty to disclor le 37. Code of Pederal Regula errby claim foreign priority b tent or inventor's certificate or lited States of America listed I rificate or any PCT internation ed by me on the same subject  RIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLI  COUNTRY St PCT, inclicate "PCT"	te to the Office all information ketions, §1.56.  specific under Title 35, United St of any PCT international application and inverse also identified being and inverse also identified being an implication (a) designating at matter having a filing date before CATION(S) AND ANY PRIOF APPLICATION NUMBER	nown to me to be main ster Code, §119 (a)-(a stion(s) designating a slow any forcign appli- least one country othe e that of the application DATE OF FILING (day, month, yea	of any for least one cation(s) for than the cation(s) of with the cation(s) of with the cation (s) of with the cat	entability country country con patent United Staich priori	as defined in  plication(s) for ther than the or inventor's ales of America ty is claimed:  2:  PRITY CLAIMED R 35 U.S.C. 1119  X. No			
denowledge the duty to disclor le 37. Code of Pederal Regula errby claim foreign priority b tent or inventor's certificate or lited States of America listed I rificate or any PCT internation ed by me on the same subject  RIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLI  COUNTRY St PCT, inclicate "PCT"	te to the Office all information ketions, §1.56.  specific under Title 35, United St of any PCT international application and inverse also identified being and inverse also identified being an implication (a) designating at matter having a filing date before CATION(S) AND ANY PRIOF APPLICATION NUMBER	nown to me to be main ster Code, §119 (a)-(a stion(s) designating a slow any forcign appli- least one country othe e that of the application DATE OF FILING (day, month, yea	of any for least one cation(s) for than the cation(s) of with the cation(s) of with the cation (s) of with the cat	entability occign api country co or patent United St ich priori PRIC UNDE	as defined in  dicadon(s) for sther than the or inventor's also of America by is claimed:  8:  PRITY CLAIMED R 35 U.S.C. \$119  NO S. No			
denowledge the duty to disclose the 37. Code of Federal Regular creby claim foreign priority beant of inventor's certificate or inventor's certificate or inventor's certificate or any PCT internationed by one on the same subject RIOR FOREIGN/PCT APPLICATION OF PCT, Indicate "PCT"	the to the Office all information kerions, §1.56.  specific under Title 35, United St. of any PCT international application of the third below and have also identified being application(a) designating at matter having a filing date before the period of t	nown to me to be main size Code, §119 (a)-(a size Code, §119 (a) size Code, §119 (a)-(a) size Code, §119 (a) size Co	of any for least one pation(s) from the m(s) of wings of	entability country country con patent United Strict priori LC. 111:  PRU Ye  Ye  Ye  Ye	as defined in  plication(s) for the than the or inventor's these of America by is claimed:  2:  DRITY CLAIMED A 35 U.S.C. \$119  No S No S No S No			
denowledge the duty to disclose is 37. Code of Pederal Regular arrive claim foreign priority better or inventor's certificate or inventor's certificate or inventor's certificate or intendical light of the same subject of the s	te to the Office all information ketions, §1.56.  specific under Title 35, United St of any PCT international application and inverse also identified being and inverse also identified being an implication (a) designating at matter having a filing date before CATION(S) AND ANY PRIOF APPLICATION NUMBER	nown to me to be main size Code, §119 (a)-(a size Code, §119 (a) size Code, §119 (a)-(a) size Code, §119 (a) size Co	of any for least one pation(s) from the m(s) of with R 35 U.S	entability country country con patent United Strict priori LC. 111:  PRU Ye  Ye  Ye  Ye	as defined in  plication(s) for the than the or inventor's these of America by is claimed:  2:  DRITY CLAIMED A 35 U.S.C. \$119  No S No S No S No			
ecraty claim foreign priority beautiful or inventor's certificate or inventor's certificate or interests of America listed I crifficate or any PCT international beautiful or in the same subject of the priority of the country at PCT, Indicate "PCT"  Australia	the to the Office all information kerions, §1.56.  specific under Title 35, United St. of any PCT international applies of any pilottice also identified below and have also identified be mail application(a) designating at matter having a filing date before APPLICATION NUMBER  PP 5183  PT 5183	nown to me to be main size Code, §119 (a)-(a size Code, §119 (a) size Code, §119 (a)-(a) size Code, §119 (a) size Co	of any for least one retion(s) for than the ratios of will state pro-	entability country country con patent United Strict priori LC. 111:  PRU Ye  Ye  Ye  Ye	as defined in  plication(s) for the than the or inventor's these of America by is claimed:  2:  DRITY CLAIMED A 35 U.S.C. \$119  No S No S No S No			

Ø 006

9397205051 08/02/2001 12:13

MULTICROP

PAGE	05
VA 005	/006

MBINED DECLARATION Judge Reference to Provi					(CONT'D)	Anomey's 1	
reby claim the benefit us mational application(s) for each of the claims first paragraph of Title; was to me to be material illable between the filling dication:	lesignating the of this applica is, United Stat to the patental	United States tion is not dist as Code, \$112 tility as define	of America : closed in that . I selmowle i in Title 37.	hat is/are listed be vihose prior applica- dge the duty to dis- Code of Pederal	alow and, inspection(s) is the O Regulations \$1	far as the mi manner pro- ffice all info .56. which i	vided by rawtion receme
ion U.S. Applications o			Cations des	IGNATING THE U.S			
U.S. APPLICATION P		PLICATIONS	U.S. FILING	CATE	PATENTED	ATUS (chack	ABANDO)
					<del> </del>		
POT	APPLICATIONS	DEGIGNATING	THE U.S.				
CT APPLICATION NO.	PCT FILI	NG DATE		ICATION NUMBERS IGNED (If any)			
							<del> </del>
			<del> </del>		<del></del>		<del> </del>
i Tradesziark Office comparional applications di spational applications di spational applications di Robert S. Sweder Plates N. Mandres Beaten S. Duffest, Jr. Narman H. Stopm Romald L. Grusticcki Predecisk G. Michaud, Jr. Alan B. Ropodd Robert G. Miller, III Robert G. Miller, III	17,337 19,881 22,124 22,030 22,716 24,970 25,003 25,913 26,999 27,360 28,571 28,571 28,521 28,521 28,521	R. Danny the Reto E. Web James W. P. Terns Share Robert E. K. William C. J. T. Gene Dill Parick C. R. Bruce J. Boj William L. St. Richard J. M. Matthey L. Michael G. S.	mington thiat through	27,903 30,505 24,057 30,427 25,385 30,888 25,423 34,858 32,344 25,952 31,917 79,193 32,814 32,956	Gendo R. Spiel Michael J. Une Bruce T. Wiede Bruce T. Wiede Todd R. Walter Rosei S. Jillides Hamd R. Brum Allen R. Brum Steven M. de B Brian P. O'Shat Kerneth B. Led Prod W. Hathar	in the state of th	30, 111 33,033 33,030 33,813 34,044 31,97 36,34 36,08 35,02 12,74 36,07 32,23
dress all correspondence	ta:	P.O.	Box 1404	NECKER & MATH Mie 22313-1404	OS, L.L.P.		
dress all telephone calls a graby declare that all atas I bellef are believed to be not and the ille so made	ements made l trus: and furt	erein of my o	wa <b>k</b> oowled: statemenia w	ere made with the	t ail Statement Enowledge th	at willful ful	iformatic

08/02 '01 16:56 FAX 61 3 9859 1588	CALLINAN LAWR	IE MELB AUS	1
09/02/2001 12:13 0397205051	MULTICE		PAGE
		- ADMITID	Tromey's Docker No.
- LARGICATION A	ND POWER OF AYTOR	WEA GOING DE .	32642-004
OMBINED DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION A political Reference to Provisional and PCT Informational A	ppications)		DATE
Marian	SIGNATURE		07.02 2001
FULL NAME OF SOLE OR REST INVENTOR	1	CATZENSHIP	an
COLD LESILIE YOUNG	a, 3096	Anstrali	
RESIDENCE 27 Reymolds Road, Wattle Clen, Victori	MX		DATE
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	SIGNATURE		DAIL .
FULL NAME OF FECOND JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		CITYZENSHIP	<del></del>
		\	
RESIDENCE			
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	SIGNATURE		DATE
FULL NAME OF THIRD IODIT INVENTOR, IF ANY	SIGNATURE	CITIZENSHI	
FULL NAME OF THE STATE OF THE S		CITEBRAIN	`
RESIDENCE			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
POST OFFICE ADDRESS			DATE
PAST CAT	SIGNATURE		
FULL NAME OF FOURTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF ANY		CHUSNS	TP .
RESIDENCE			
			DATE
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	SKONATURE		· DAVE
FULL NAME OF FIFTH JUDIT DIVENTOR, IF ANY		CTIVEBN	SEUP
BENDENCE			
			PATE
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	SIGNATUR	B	DAIR
FULL NAME OF SETTE IOUT INVENTOR, IF ANY		- CTE	NSKOP
RESIDENCE			
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	TANDIE	RE	DATE
FULL NAME OF SEVENTH JOINT INVENTOR, IF AL	AY Jacobs		ENGLE
		- Cirm	
RESIDANCE			1
FOST OFFICE ADDIESS			DATE
full name of eighth iont inventor, if al	YY		
BULL NAME OF MOSTIFICA		CIT	TZENSTIP
		l l	
RESIDENCE			